EXHIBIT 2

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

EXHIBIT 2

1	ROUGH ASCII NOT FINAL CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
2	
09: 34: 28 3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
09: 34: 29 4	going on the record at 9:34, on September 22 of the
09: 34: 35 5	Year 2017. Please understand microphones are very
09: 34: 42 6	sensitive, and they may pick up whispering ad
09: 34: 44 7	private conversations and cellular interference.
09: 34: 49 8	Please turn off your cell phones or place
09: 34: 51 9	them away from the microphones, as they may
09: 34: 54 10	interfere with the audio. Audio and video recording
09: 34: 57 11	will continue to take place unless all parties agree
09: 35: 01 12	to go off of the record.
09: 35: 03 13	This is Disc 1, Volume I in the video
09: 35: 06 14	deposition of Michael J. Wagner, taken by counsel
09: 35: 10 15	for Defendants in the matter of Waymo LLC v. Uber
09: 35: 16 16	Technologies. It's filed in the United States
09: 35: 19 17	District Court, for the Northern District of
09: 35: 21 18	California, Case No. 17-cv-00939-WHA.
09: 35: 29 19	This is being taken at Morrison &
09: 35: 31 20	Foerster. They're at 425 Market Street in
09: 35: 35 21	San Francisco. My name is Kevin Foor, and I am here
09: 35: 40 22	with Mary Goff-Sharma, and we are from Veritext.
09: 35: 45 23	I'm not related to any party nor am I financially
09: 35: 49 24	interested in the outcome in any way.
09: 35: 52 25	Counsel and and all present in the

2714429AMG. txt 12:08:16 23 Otto Trucking LLC. Do you know who Otto Trucking

12: 08: 18 24 is?

12: 08: 19 25 A I do.

	_	DOUGH ACOLD NOT FLAM OFFILE TO TRANSCOLDE
	1	ROUGH ASCIINOT FINAL CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
12: 08: 20	2	Q Who is Otto what's your understanding of
12: 08: 22	3	what Otto Trucking is?
12: 08: 23	4	A Well, I I believe it's a company that's
12: 08: 25	5	owned by principally owned by Mr. Levandowski and
12: 08: 32	6	Li or.
12: 08: 34	7	And it is in has signed an an
12: 08: 37	8	acquisition of purchase agreement with Uber where it
12: 08: 43	9	it's Uber's discretion to purchase that company
12: 08: 46	10	between now and sometime in November. And it is a
12: 08: 49	11	company that is focused on applying LiDAR technology
12: 08: 53	12	to trucks.
12: 08: 56	13	Q Do you know whether Otto Trucking has any
12: 08: 58	14	empl oyees?
12: 08: 59	15	A Well, my understanding is they do not at
12: 09: 01	16	least from the last facts that I have.
12: 09: 04	17	Q Do you have any understanding of as to
12: 09: 04	18	whether Otto Trucking does any research and
12: 09: 07	19	development activities?
12: 09: 12	20	A I I I don't know whether they do or not
12: 09: 16	21	I understand that Uber is advancing development
12: 09: 18	22	funds to them. So I I would think they do. But
12: 09: 22	23	whether that's done with actually being done by Uber
12: 09: 26	24	and not your client, I don't know.

	1	ROUGH ASCII NOT FINAL CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
12: 09: 31	2	this case as to any damages caused to Waymo specific
12: 09: 36	3	to oath Otto Trucking; is that right?
12: 09: 38	4	A That's correct.
12: 09: 38	5	Q Okay. And so then your damages I'm
12: 09: 44	6	going to walk through briefly not in the level of
12: 09: 46	7	detail that Uber's counsel did but I just want to
12: 09: 48	8	walk through you principal opinions in this case.
12: 09: 50	9	You have offered two unjust enrichment
12: 09: 55	10	unjust enrichment calculations and a reasonable
12: 09: 58	11	royalty measure, correct?
12: 10: 00	12	A That's fair.
12: 10: 01	13	Q Okay. The first unjust enrichment measure
12: 10: 05	14	values the accelerated development to that Uber
12: 10: 10	15	was able to achieve through the alleged
12: 10: 13	16	misappropriation of these nine trade secrets, right?
12: 10: 16	17	A Yes.
12: 10: 17	18	Q And and your opinion that we'll
12: 10: 20	19	call that your first unjust enrichment opinion.
12: 10: 24	20	That opinion is based upon internal Uber
12: 10: 28	21	documents showing some accelerated development,
12: 10: 31	22	correct?
12: 10: 32	23	A That's fair.
12: 10: 34	24	Q That opinion is not based upon any Otto
12: 10: 36	25	Trucking documents; is that right?

12: 16: 07 8	that word the caveats you're referring to
12: 16: 09 9	regarding the applicability of your unjust
12: 16: 12 10	enrichment damage theories, those caveats are Uber
12: 16: 17 11	acquires Otto Trucking and that Uber shares some of
12: 16: 21 12	the technology it's developing using the allegedly
12: 16: 25 13	mi sappropri ated trade secrets with Otto Trucking; is
12: 16: 28 14	that right?
12: 16: 28 15	A That's that's again, that's my
12: 16: 30 16	conclusion or that would be my opinion as a
12: 16: 33 17	damages expert.
12: 16: 33 18	Q If both of those assumptions are true,
12: 16: 36 19	then your damages opinions your unjust enrichment
12: 16: 39 20	damages opinions may have some applicability to Otto
12: 16: 41 21	Trucking, correct?
12: 16: 44 22	MR. EISEMAN: Objection as to form.
12: 16: 44 23	A That's fair.
12: 16: 49 24	Q (BY MR. BERRY) You also have a reasonable

12:16:53 25 royalty rate calculation. And that measures the

	1	ROUGH ASCIINOT FINAL CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
12: 16: 55	2	amount that Uber would have agreed to pay Waymo in
12: 16: 58	3	this hypothetical negotiation set in the
12: 17: 01	4	somewhere in the December 15 August between
12: 17: 05	5	December '15 and August 2016 time period, right?
12: 17: 09	6	A Correct?
12: 17: 09	7	Q You didn't do any separate calculation of
12: 17: 11	8	the amount that Otto Trucking would have agreed to
12: 17: 15	9	(pay Waymo at a hypothetical negotiation set during) Page 123

12:17:20 10 (that same time period, correct?)

12: 17: 22 11 A That is accurate.

- 12: 17: 23 12 Q Okay. And then for the reasonable royal ty
- 12:17:25 13 calculation that you did, you start with a baseline
- 12:17:28 14 of Uber's unjust enrichment. And then you adjusted
- 12:17:32 15 upward based on some analysis you have done of
- 12:17:36 16 certain of the Georgia-Pacific factors. Namely 4,
- 12: 17: 38 17 5, 6, 8, and 11, correct?
- 12: 17: 42 18 A Those are the only ones that had any
- 12:17:45 19 impact on changing the number from the baseline.
- 12: 17: 47 20 That is correct.
- 12: 17: 48 21 Q And -- and Factor 5 -- this is addressed
- 12:17:52 22 in your report at paragraphs 399 to 401 -- that --
- 12:17:55 23 that factor deals with the commercial relationship
- 12:17:58 24 between Waymo and Uber and some documents that you
- 12:18:02 25 referred regarding the -- the potential competitive

- 1 ROUGH ASCII--NOT FINAL CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
- 12:18:08 2 relationship between those two companies, right?
- 12: 18: 10 3 A Yes.
- 12: 18: 11 4 Q That analysis in Factor 5 is -- is
- 12:18:13 5 inapplicable to -- to my client Otto Trucking --
- 12: 18: 16 6 A Yeah --
- 12:18:16 8 A -- as discussed in my report, that is
- 12: 18: 19 9 correct.
- 12: 18: 20 10 Q Right. And -- and Factor 8 deals with
- 12: 18: 25 11 expected future profitability. And you analyzed Page 124

(BY MR. SCHUMAN) In -- in response to some

ROUGH ASCII -- NOT FINAL CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

12: 20: 30 16	Q So as we sit here today based on the work
12: 20: 33 17	you have done so far up to and including today, you
12: 20: 36 18	don't have an opinion of what damages Waymo would be
12: 20: 39 19	entitled to under that hypothetical verdict
12: 20: 41 20	MR. EISEMAN: Objection.
12: 20: 41 21	Q is that fair (talking over each other
12: 20: 42 22	check *)?
12: 20: 43 23	MR. EISEMAN: Objection as to form.
12: 20: 43 24	A I do not.

12: 20: 44 25

Q

```
12:20:50 2 of the questions you got from Uber's counsel, you --
12: 20: 55
         3
             you mentioned that you personally spent
12: 20: 58 4 approximately 64 hours total working on -- working
12: 21: 01 5
             on your opinions in this case. Obviously, your
12:21:04 6 staff spent many more hours than that.
12: 21: 06
                       Approximately what percentage of your
12: 21: 09 8 64 hours, Mr. Wagner, did you spend focusing on
(12: 21: 14) 9 calculating damages specific to my client, Otto
12: 21: 16 10 Trucki ng?
12: 21: 17 11
                  A
                       Zero.
12: 21: 25 12
                  Q
                       Just bear with me a second.
12: 21: 27 13
                       But I could approximate -- it's exactly
                  Α
12: 21: 31 14 64.0 hours through September 15. And it's been 13.4
12:21:39 15 hours since then before today
12: 21: 39 16
                  0
                       I would --
                  Α
                       -- between September 15 and today (talking
12: 21: 40 17
                                     Page 127
```